S.B.CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION NO.3848/2006

Date : 04.09.2006

HON'BLE MR. SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. Devender Singh Dev for the applicant.
Mr. V.R. Mehta, Public Prosecutor for State.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the impugned order.

After hearing learned counsel for the applicant at length, I am of the opinion that First Information Report in this case is detailed one and allegations are to the effect that at the point of deadly weapon like gun and pistol the complainant was taken away and beaten also.

The contention of learned counsel for the applicant is that on account of political rivalry this case has been lodged against the applicant.

After examining the entire matter, perusal of the

impugned order and taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the present case, I do not find it to be a fit case to extend benefit of anticipatory bail to the applicant. Accordingly, the bail application moved by the applicant under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is rejected.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.

vij